

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,393	1	2/28/2000	Ji-Young Kim	678-580 (P9655) 9536 EXAMINER	
28249	7590	09/30/2004			
		RRESE, LLP	VU, KIEU D		
333 EARLE UNIONDAI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2173		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/752,393	KIM, JI-YOUNG	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kieu D Vu	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIO oid abandonment of this application of the condition of the cond	N FOR ALLOWANC ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	E. to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 August 2004</u>. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	opellant's Brief must be filed with R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		h in
		NOTE	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	atath, and bustons are at a	- 126 - 1 41
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	pilitying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE: .	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s)		
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · 	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appropriate appropriate of the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	ot(a)(DTO 1440) Banar Na(a)		
 10.	4	aym ond J. B <i>i</i> Rimary Exan Art Unit 21	MINER

2a) The combination of Parks and Finke-Ankauff teaches finally rejection claims as clearly presented in the Final Office Action.
As proposed, claims 1, 5, and 8 contain new limitation "a main menu index or a sub-menu index" that has not been earlier presented and would require further search and consideration.